arXiv:cond-mat/0309211v1 [cond-mat.soft] 9 Sep 2003

On Superfluidity and Suppressed Light Scattering in BECs

Zaira Nazario† and David I. Santiago†,⋆
† *Department of Physics, Stanford University,Stanford, California 94305*
⋆ *Gravity Probe B Relativity Mission, Stanford, California 94305*
(Dated: February 2, 2008)

We show that the suppression of light scattering off a Bose Einstein Condensate is equivalent to the Landau argument for superfluidity and thus is a consequence of the *Principle of Superfluidity*. The superfluid ground state of a BEC contains nonseparable, nontrivial correlations between the bosons that make up the system, i. e., it is entangled. The correlations in the ground state entangle the bosons into a coherent state for the lowest energy state. The entanglement is so extreme that the bosons that make up the system cannot be excited at long wavenumbers. Their existence at low energies is impossible. Only quantum sound can be excited, i.e. the excitations are Bogolyubov quasiparticles which do not resemble free bosons whatsoever at low energies. This means that the system is superfluid by the Landau argument and the superfluidity is ultimately the reason for suppressed scattering at low wavelengths.

The advent of Bose Einstein Condensates (BECs) in 1995[1, 2, 3] was followed by an explosion of experimental activity. Among the experimental efforts figure studies of spontaneous emission and light scattering of these superfluid systems by W. Ketterle and collaborators[4]. They found that light scattering off BECs is suppressed to negligible values at long wavelengths. This seems surprising at first since one can naively expect the typical $n+1$ bosonic enhancement[5] as observed in their emission experiments[4]. They have attributed the observed suppression of light scattering in BECs to destructive quantum interference effects[5]. While their argument is certainly true, it is the purpose of this brief note to point out that the more universal reason that makes their argument, and hence their results, true is the *Principle of Superfluidity*.

BECs are systems of bosonic atoms with short range repulsive interactions among them, as all atoms will repel each other when placed close enough together. At low enough temperatures, more importantly than being Bose condensed, the repulsion correlates particles with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and $-\mathbf{k}$ into a coherent ground state. Such a repulsion endows the ground state with the necessary rigidity that makes superflow possible. Such a rigidity impedes the existence of soft quasiparticles excitations, allowing only quantum sound as a low energy excitation. Hence the event of light scattering and its concomitant excitations, which will necessarily disrupt the superfluid, will be suppressed by virtue of the Principle of Superfluidity.

An apt Hamiltonian that encapsulates the universal physics of Bose Einstein Condensates is the Bose Hubbard model.

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{U_0}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k_2},\mathbf{q}} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}_2} \quad (1)$$

where $a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ are bosonic creation and annihilation operators, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 k^2/2m$ is the kinetic energy or dispersion of the noninteracting bosons, μ is a chemical potential introduced to conserve particles when we make the Bogolyubov approximation, and U represents the interaction between bosons.

We consider first ground state properties. We suppose that the parameters of our Hamiltonian is such that the ground state of the system is a Bose superfluid and not any other competing phase such as a Mott insulator. A precondition on the superfluid system is for it to be a quantum fluid. That is, at low temperatures it is Bose condensed, with a macroscopic number of bosons occupying the zero momentum state. This is why He^4 has the superfluid transition at the Bose condensation temperature. Under macroscopic occupation we can treat the operators a_0 and $a_0^\dagger$ as c numbers and replace them by $\sqrt{N_0}$, the square root of the total number of bosons in the condensate. We separate terms corresponding to the condensate from the interacting Hamiltonian. Higher order terms can be discarded because the correlations in the ground state dominate at low $\mathbf{k}$ and make those terms negligible. This leads us to a reduced Bogolyubov Hamiltonian[6]

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} N_0^2 U_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0} \tilde{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}\, a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + U_0 \frac{N_0}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0} (a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} a^\dagger_{-\mathbf{k}} + a_{-\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}) \quad (2)$$

where

$$\tilde{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} + N_0 U_0 \quad (3)$$

and μ has been eliminated by a variational condition on the ground state energy with respect to number of condensate particles, N_0.

The Hamiltonian in equation (2) is diagonalized by a

Bogolyubov transformation

$$b_{\mathbf{k}} = u_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}} a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}} \qquad b^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} = u_{\mathbf{k}} a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}} a_{-\mathbf{k}} \tag{4}$$

by choosing

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} + 1} \qquad v_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1} \tag{5}$$

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\tilde{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}^2 - N_0^2 U_0^2} = |k| \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^4 k^2}{4m^2} + \frac{\hbar^2 N_0 U_0}{m}} \tag{6}$$

where the Bogolyubov operators satisfy bosonic commutation relations. In the long wavelength limit ($k \to 0$) we arrive at a quasiparticle excitation spectrum

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} \simeq \hbar |k| \sqrt{\frac{N_0 U_0}{m}} = p \sqrt{\frac{N_0 U_0}{m}} \tag{7}$$

where $p = \hbar |k|$. We see that the low energy excitations have sound dispersion $E_{\mathbf{k}} = p c_s$ with sound speed

$$c_s = \sqrt{\frac{N_0 U_0}{m}} \tag{8}$$

This is a finite measurable quantity[7] that indicates the presence of correlations among the constituents of the material since in the absence of repulsion the correlations, and with them the sound speed, will go to zero. Such correlations stabilize persistent currents and give rigidity to the ground state by making it energetically expensive to excite the fundamental bosons independently. Instead, the excitations are collective modes and the system superflows[8]. The ground state has acquired rigidity.

The absence of boson repulsion, and hence rigidity, would permit scattering processes to occur which impedes superfluidity through dissipation. This is equivalent to Landau's argument for superfluidity, which we will briefly sketch. A Bose fluid at zero temperature moving with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ and interacting with an external agent can exchange energy and momentum with the agent. The fluid has initial energy $Mv^2/2$ with M the total mass of the fluid. The interaction creates a quasiparticle with energy $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ *in the frame of the Bose fluid*. In the lab frame the particle has energy

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{p}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \tag{9}$$

by Galilean invariance[8]. If the fluid can lower its energy by exciting quasiparticles it will do so no matter how mild the interaction is, and hence it will dissipate. The final fluid energy with a quasiparticle excited is

$$\frac{Mv^2}{2} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \tag{10}$$

The condition for dissipation is therefore $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} < 0$. The easiest way to satisfy the condition is when $\mathbf{p}$ is antiparallel to $\mathbf{v}$. We then obtain dissipation for

$$v > \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}}{p} \right)_{\min} \tag{11}$$

For all dispersions softer than sound the minimum on the right hand side of the last equation is zero and there is dissipation for *any velocity of the fluid.* If the dispersion is at least as stiff as sound, for velocities smaller than some critical velocity there is no dissipation and hence superflow. We thus see that Bose condensation is a necessary but not sufficient condition for the existence of superfluidity. One also needs the ground state rigidity provided by boson repulsion.

We will now move to study light scattering off the Bose Einstein Condensate. As we will show below and had been previously shown by Ketterle and collaborators[5] the suppresses ed scattering follows from destructive quantum interference that does not permit bosons to be excited out of the fluid. Such destructive interference will be absent if $v_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. We point out that the speed of sound, c_s, of the system will be zero *if and only if* $v_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. Therefore the suppressed scattering is a consequence of the system being a superfluid and hence equivalent to the Landau argument.

In order to study scattering off the superfluid we will need to calculate perturbation matrix elements of excited states with the ground state. The normalized BEC ground state wavefunction is

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{u_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-(v_{\mathbf{k}}/u_{\mathbf{k}}) a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}}} |0\rangle \tag{12}$$

In the absence of interactions, $u_{\mathbf{k}} = 1, v_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ and we have a noncorrelated ground state. In this case, when we excite the system by scattering, we literally pull out one of the bosonic atoms constituting the condensate and give it nonzero momentum. In this noninteracting and hence nonsuperfluid case, scattering will have a typical bosonic enhancement. In the superfluid case, which corresponds to interacting bosons, atoms with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and $-\mathbf{k}$ are mixed so when an excitation with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ is created, another with momentum $-\mathbf{k}$ is destroyed forming a coherent superposition.

In a scattering event, an incoming particle couples to the density of the system. The effective scattering Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_I = \int d^3 r \; V(\mathbf{r}, t) \rho(\mathbf{r}) + \text{H.C.} \tag{13}$$

or after Fourier transforming

$$\mathcal{H}_I = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{q}} e^{i\omega t} a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + \text{H.C.} \tag{14}$$

where the energy and momentum transfered in the scattering event are

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_f - \mathbf{q}_i \;\text{ and }\; \omega = \omega_i - \omega_f \tag{15}$$

and where we have concentrated only on one $\mathbf{q}$. This is enough at first order where the amplitudes for the different momenta add.

In order to insure adiabaticity in the interaction we introduce an extra term in the exponential of the interaction Hamiltonian. The interaction will be turned on at $t = -\infty$ and left on until a time t. At the end of the calculations, we will take the limit $\eta \to 0^+$.

$$\mathcal{H}_I = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{q}} e^{i(\omega - i\eta)t} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + \text{H.C.} \tag{16}$$

Calculating the time evolution of the Bose system in the presence of the scattering particle by means of time dependent perturbation theory (with the ground state $|\Psi_i\rangle = |\Psi_o\rangle$, $E_i = 0$ as the initial state) we obtain for the amplitude, after separating the $\mathbf{k} = 0, -\mathbf{q}$ momentum states to account for the condensate

$$\begin{aligned} c_m(t) = &-\frac{V_{\mathbf{q}}\sqrt{N_0}(\langle\Psi_m|a^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle + \langle\Psi_m|a_{-\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle)}{E_m + \hbar\omega - i\hbar\eta}\, e^{i(E_m+\hbar\omega-i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} - \frac{V_{\mathbf{q}}\sqrt{N_0}(\langle\Psi_m|a_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle + \langle\Psi_m|a^\dagger_{-\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle)}{E_m - \hbar\omega - i\hbar\eta}\, e^{i(E_m-\hbar\omega-i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0,-\mathbf{q}} V_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{\langle\Psi_m|a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}a_{\mathbf{k}}|\Psi_0\rangle}{E_m + \hbar\omega - i\hbar\eta} e^{i(E_m+\hbar\omega-i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0,-\mathbf{q}} V_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{\langle\Psi_m|a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}}a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle}{E_m - \hbar\omega - i\hbar\eta} e^{i(E_m-\hbar\omega-i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since the scattering particles couple to the density, the amount of scattering is proportional to the density component with momentum $\mathbf{q}$, the so called density response function

$$\langle\rho_{\mathbf{q}}\rangle = \sum_m c_m \langle\Psi_0|\rho_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_m\rangle + \sum_m c^*_m \langle\Psi_m|\rho_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle \tag{18}$$

Notice from (4) that

$$a^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}} = u_{\mathbf{q}} b^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}} - v_{\mathbf{q}} b_{-\mathbf{q}} \qquad a_{\mathbf{q}} = u_{\mathbf{q}} b_{\mathbf{q}} - v_{\mathbf{q}} b^\dagger_{-\mathbf{q}} \tag{19}$$

Using these relations together with the expression for the density $\rho_{\mathbf{q}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{k}}$ and equation (17) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\rho_{\mathbf{q}}\rangle = &\frac{-V_{\mathbf{q}}N_0(u_{\mathbf{q}} - v_{\mathbf{q}})^2}{E_{\mathbf{q}} - \hbar\omega - i\hbar\eta} e^{i(E_{\mathbf{q}}-\hbar\omega-i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} e^{-iE_{\mathbf{q}}t/\hbar} - \frac{V_{\mathbf{q}}N_0(u_{\mathbf{q}} - v_{\mathbf{q}})^2}{E_{\mathbf{q}} + \hbar\omega + i\hbar\eta} e^{-i(E_{\mathbf{q}}+\hbar\omega+i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} e^{iE_{\mathbf{q}}t/\hbar} \\ &- \sum_m \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0,-\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{l}\neq 0,-\mathbf{q}} \frac{u_{\mathbf{k}}u_{\mathbf{l}}v_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}v_{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{q}}V_{\mathbf{q}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} + E_{\mathbf{k}} - \hbar\omega - i\hbar\eta} e^{i(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}+E_{\mathbf{k}}-\hbar\omega-i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} e^{-i(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}+E_{\mathbf{k}})t/\hbar} \\ &- \sum_m \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0,-\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{l}\neq 0,-\mathbf{q}} \frac{v_{\mathbf{k}}v_{\mathbf{l}}u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}u_{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{q}}V_{\mathbf{q}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} + E_{\mathbf{k}} + \hbar\omega + i\hbar\eta} e^{-i(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}+E_{\mathbf{k}}+\hbar\omega+i\hbar\eta)t/\hbar} e^{i(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}+E_{\mathbf{k}})t/\hbar} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The higher momenta terms ($\mathbf{k} \neq 0, -\mathbf{q}$) in the density response function represent processes that are forbidden by kinematics constraints, and hence they are dropped.

In particular, notice that for $\mathbf{k} = 0$ in (17)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Psi_m|a^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle &= \langle\Psi_m|(u_{\mathbf{q}}b^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}} - v_{\mathbf{q}}b_{-\mathbf{q}})|\Psi_0\rangle \\ &= u_{\mathbf{q}}\,\langle\Psi_m|b^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

with final state

$$|\Psi_{m'_0}\rangle = b^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle \tag{22}$$

and matrix element

$$\langle\Psi_m|a^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle = u_{\mathbf{q}} \tag{23}$$

and for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{-q}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Psi_m|a_{-\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle &= \langle\Psi_m|(u_{-\mathbf{q}}b_{-\mathbf{q}} - v_{-\mathbf{q}}b^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}})|\Psi_0\rangle \\ &= -v_{\mathbf{q}}\,\langle\Psi_m|b^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}}|\Psi_0\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

whose final state is the same state (22)

The expressions (21) and (24) make evident the meaning of the multiplicative factor $(u_{\mathbf{q}} - v_{\mathbf{q}})^2 = u_{\mathbf{q}}^2 + v_{\mathbf{q}}^2 - 2u_{\mathbf{q}}v_{\mathbf{q}}$ in (20). $v_{\mathbf{q}}^2$ represents the probability of knocking a boson with momentum $-\mathbf{q}$ out of the correlated ground state, $u_{\mathbf{q}}^2$ represents the probability of knocking into the ground state one of the boson that are correlated with momentum $\mathbf{q}$, and $u_{\mathbf{q}}v_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the interference between the two processes. It is this interference factor which provides the suppression of light scattering[5] as a consequence of the rigidity intrinsic of a superfluid ground state ($v_{\mathbf{q}} \neq 0$). Using equations (3), (5), (6), and (8) we find, as $\mathbf{q} \to 0$

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{q}} = N_0 U_0 (1 + \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{2m^2 c_s^2}) \, , \quad E_{\mathbf{q}} \simeq \hbar q c_s (1 + \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{8m^2 c_s^2}) \quad (25)$$

thus

$$\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{q}}}{E_{\mathbf{q}}} \simeq \frac{mc_s}{\hbar q}(1 + \frac{3\hbar^2 q^2}{8m^2 c_s^2}) \quad (26)$$

Hence

$$u_{\mathbf{q}}^2 + v_{\mathbf{q}}^2 = \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{q}}}{E_{\mathbf{q}}} \simeq \frac{mc_s}{\hbar q}(1 + \frac{3\hbar^2 q^2}{8m^2 c_s^2}) \quad (27)$$

$$u_{\mathbf{q}} v_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{q}}^2}{E_{\mathbf{q}}^2} - 1} \simeq \frac{mc_s}{2\hbar q}(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{8m^2 c_s^2}) \quad (28)$$

Putting everything together

$$u_{\mathbf{q}}^2 + v_{\mathbf{q}}^2 - 2u_{\mathbf{q}}v_{\mathbf{q}} \simeq \frac{\hbar q}{2mc_s} \quad (29)$$

This goes to zero linearly as $\mathbf{q} \to 0$. The processes of knocking a particle with momentum $\mathbf{q}$ out of the correlated ground state and knocking a particle with momentum $-\mathbf{q}$ into the ground state both lead to the same final state. That is, the two processes cannot be differentiated by the scattering process and thus will interfere quantum mechanically. This is so because neither of them is an elementary excitation of the system, but a coherent superposition of them makes a Bogolyubov quasiparticle, which is an eigenstate of the system. The interference is destructive at small wavevectors and scattering is suppressed.

We conclude by emphasizing that if there is no repulsion between the atoms, low energy excitations will not have a sound spectrum, $v_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, u_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$ leading to no superfluidity and no suppressed light scattering. We thus see that suppressed light scattering follows from the rigidity concomitant to the superfluid state, characterized by $v_{\mathbf{k}} \neq 0$, which is equivalent to a nonzero sound speed. This rigidity is ultimately due to repulsive interactions between the bosons. There is no scattering because the "Principle of Superfluidity" forbids it. These are universal properties valid for any superfluid state which will hold exactly at *low enough energy scales*.

[1] K. B. Davis, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).
[2] C. C. Bradley, *et. al.* Phy. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995).
[3] M. H. Anderson, *et. al.* Science **269**, 198 (1995).
[4] D. M. Stamper-Kurn, *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2876 (1999).
[5] A. Görlitz, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 041601 (2001).
[6] N. N. Bogolyubov, J. Phys. USSR **11**, 23 (1947).
[7] M. R. Andrews, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 553 (1997).
[8] L. D. Landau, J. Phys. USSR **5**, 71 (1941).